(7·P)

Previous references.

190

(Sep. Rev.)

Later references.

File No. 62 of 1903-06
Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Refund bill for Rs 2-8-0 in favour of Venkatachar of Hoskote Taluk, being Excess Stamp duty recovered from him.

Sl 1 From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt
No 361 dated 1/7/03.

7L 651

Submits for sanction a refund bill for Rs 2-8-0 on behalf of Thirumalachar and Venkatesachar being the excess duty levied from them.

Sl 2. To the Deputy Commr Bangalore Dt & The Comptroller Mysore
Govt G.O. No 7L 1176-7 D 4.11.03.

G. R. S. 58 Sanctions the above and forwards to the Comptroller for ...

Rep. Rev. 62 of 03-04
Sl 1

1903 | GENERAL OFFICE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
7661
2 OCT 1903

From The Dy Commr & Supt
Bangalore Dt

To The Secy to the Govt of
Mysore
Rev and Genl Depts

Dated 1st July
Despatched 24th Oct } 1903
Received

General No.
S No. 361

Enclosure No. VIII.

Subd

Submits for sanction a refund bill for Rs 2-8-0 on behalf of Thirumalachar and Venkatachar being the excess Stamp duty levied from them.

Cert
Rs 2-8-0 | D I
Sd. [illegible]
Accts
27/10

Submitted with draft.
27/10/03

MGCPB—50reams—6-12-02.

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 1st July 1873

From,

H St P Harkes Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr Mysore
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev and Genl Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to State that a document executed on two rupees Stamp Paper and purporting to be a release of the adopted son's rights over a certain property of the adoptive father in favor of the brothers of the latter was impounded by the Sub Registrar of Srirakote on the ground that

That as the value of the property released was not mentioned in the document, the stamp duty was leviable under article 53 clause (b). Accordingly, a deficient duty of Rs 3/ and a penalty of Rs 5/ were received from Thirumalachar and Venkatesachar, the executees of the document and credited into the Bangalore District Treasury on 25th September 1902. But when the papers were submitted to me finally for orders, I found that the value of the property released had been ascertained to be Rs 455/ and therefore clause (a) of article 53 was the article applicable in this case. The stamp duty leviable under clause (a) is only Rs 2½/

ie

3

(ie) half a rupee more than the value of the stamp paper on which the document is engrossed. The excess Duty of Rs 2 1/2/ already levied is therefore unnecessary. I enclose accordingly a refund bill for Rs 2 1/2/ and request the sanction of Government thereto.

2. To save delay the document has been so certified and sent back to the Sub Registrar for delivering it to the party.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H H Sparke

Dy Commissr Stamps

Mdu